





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference T020509 JKLC	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/FR2003/001754	11 juin 2003 (11.	11 juin 2003 (11.06.2003) 19 juin 2002 (1					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G01V 1/28, 1/30	ational classification and IP(
Applicant	EARTH DECISION	SCIENCES	·				
and is transmitted to the applicant at 2. This REPORT consists of a total of	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been						
amended and are the basis fo 70.16 and Section 607 of the	or this report and/or sheets co Administrative Instructions	ntaining rectification under the PCT).	tions made before this Authority (see Rule				
These annexes consist of a to	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3. This report contains indications rela	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inv	vention .						
V Reasoned statement citations and explan	t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such state	gard to novelty, in ment	ventive step or industrial applicability;				
VI Certain documents	cited						
VII Certain defects in the international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		te of completion	of this report				
24 novembre 2003 (24.1	1.2003)	. 22	June 2004 (22.06.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Au	thorized officer					
Facsimile No.	Te	lephone No.					



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. B	asis	of the re	port					
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*								
	\boxtimes	the inter	national application as originally filed					
Ī	\overline{X}	the desc	ription:					
•		pages	1-10	, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
ſ	∇	the clair	ns:	Į				
, k	لات	pages	1-10	, as originally filed				
		pages	, as amended (together with any state	ement under Article 19				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
ſ	∇	the drav	vings:					
'	لخب		1/3-3/3	, as originally filed				
		pages						
			, filed with the letter of					
	\neg .		nce listing part of the description:	·				
	<u>'</u>	ne seque pages	-	as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. 							
		The st	atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writt urnished.	en sequence listing has				
4.		The an	the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig					
5.			port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	e been considered to go				
	in th and	nis repor 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under A t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain a tent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this re	mendments (Rule 70.16				
	мпу.	геріасет	ieni sneet containing such amenamens mass ve rejerrea to unaer tiem 1 ana annexea to this re	,po.,				

V. Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement			•			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES			
	Claims		NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES			
• , ,	Claims		NO NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES			
	Claims		NO			

2. Citations and explanations

1

Reference is made to the following documents: 1.

Claims

D1: US-A-5 615 171

D2: US-A-6 138 076

D3: US 2002/022930 A1

D4: US-A-6 151 555

D1, which is considered to be the prior art closest 2. to the subject matter of independent claim 1, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document):

A method for locally determining the shape of geological horizons, wherein a continuous function $S_{ij,k}(t)$ is constructed by interpolating or approximating the discrete seismic traces of a three-dimensional seismic matrix, said function $S_{ij,k}(t)$ being designated as "continuous local seismic trace", comprising the following steps:

(a) using, as the optimal offset of two adjacent continuous local seismic traces, the offset value that maximises the correlation function thereof, said offset not necessarily being an integer

multiple of the vertical sampling pitch (column 2, line 34 to column 3, line 11);

- (b) retaining, as the conditional adjacency of a "central" continuous local seismic trace, the subadjacency consisting of adjacent traces $S_{pq,k}(t)$ corresponding to optimal offsets $h_{ij,pq,k}$ associated with correlations $R_{ij,pq,k}(h_{ij,pq,k})$ greater than a predetermined threshold between 0 and 1 (column 3, lines 12 to 31, column 6, lines 36 to 54);
- (c) defining, for each continuous local seismic trace $S_{pq,k}(t)$ of the conditional adjacency, a residual value relative to said "central" continuous local seismic trace $S_{ij,k}(t)$ comprising parametric coefficients;
- (d) determining the parametric coefficients a_{ij} and $b_{ij,k}$ by minimising the set of residual values over the conditional adjacency.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 differs from said known D1 in that the last two steps of the method are not performed.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- 3. The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can be considered to be that of improving the determination of the shape of geological horizons.
- 4. The solution to this problem, as proposed in claim 1 of the present application, is considered to involve

an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reason:

Although the other documents apparently disclose, in a variety of ways, steps (a) and (b) (D2: column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 6; column 6, lines 25 to 45; column 9, line 63 to column 10, line 12; D3: page 2, paragraphs 23 to 27; D4: column 8, line 66 to column 9, line 20; column 12, line 16 to column 13, line 30), they do not disclose the residual value comprising parametric coefficients. The solution proposed in claim 1 is therefore not a routine measure for a person skilled in the art and the closest prior art does not suggest the solution set forth in said claim.

- 5. The claims dependent on claim 1 also comply, as such, with the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.
- 6. The industrial applicability of the device described in claim 1 is obvious.